

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----  
PETER PINTO,

COMPLAINT FOR  
DEPRIVATION OF  
NUMEROUS CIVIL  
RIGHTS,  
HARASSMENT,  
INTIMIDATION,  
CONSPIRACY,  
CONSPIRACY WITH  
INTENT TO SWAY  
JUDGES AND BLOCK  
DUE PROCESS,  
FAILURE TO PROTECT  
PETER PINTO OR HIS  
PROPERTY, ABUSE OF  
POWER AND  
DISCRIMINATION  
BASED UPON  
GENDER

PLAINTIFF,

-AGAINST-

CV –

JOSEPH STANZIONE, GREENE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, TERRY WILHELM, CATSKILL SHERIFF DEPARTMENT AND OFFICES OF GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT AND POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER MEGHAN DOWNEY AND SERGEANT CHRISTMAN, WHO WAS THE ROAD SUPERVISOR ON DUTY SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 WHO WAS OFFICER MEGHAN DOWNEY'S SUPERVISOR AT THE TIME, AND SEARGEANT ON DUTY ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 IN CHARGE OF SHERIFF MEGHAN DOWNEY, AND JUDGE JENNIFER JENSEN OF SARATOGA FAMILY COURT,  
DEFENDANTS.

---

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

1. DEFENDANTS VIOLATED 42 US CODE 1985 WHICH STATES:

2. **(2)OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE; INTIMIDATING PARTY, WITNESS, OR JUROR**

If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire to deter, by force, intimidation, or threat, any party or witness in any court of the United States from attending such court, or from testifying to any matter pending therein, freely, fully, and truthfully, or to injure such party or witness in his person or property on account of his having so attended or testified, or to influence the verdict, presentment, or indictment of any grand or petit juror in any such court, or to injure such juror in his person or property on account of any verdict, presentment, or indictment lawfully assented to by him, or of his being or having been such juror; or if two or more persons conspire for the purpose of impeding, hindering, obstructing, or defeating, in any manner, the due course of justice in any State or Territory, with intent to deny to any citizen the equal protection of the laws, or to injure him or his property for lawfully enforcing, or attempting to enforce, the right of any person, or class of persons, to the equal protection of the laws;

3. DEFENDANTS VIOLATED THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT AND COMMITTED CRIMINAL ACTS OF HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION IN THREATENING PETER PINTO'S BODILY PERSON.

4. PURSUIT OF CRIMINAL CHARGES FOR FALSIFYING REPORTS BY OFFICERS OF THE LAW AND THE COURTS AND COURT OFFICIALS AGAINST A LAW ABIDING CITIZEN WITH NO RECORDS AGAINST HIM AND A 100% DISABLED VETERAN OF THE UNITED STATES NAVY.
5. COURT'S ABUSE OF POWER BY THE GREENE COUNTY FAMILY COURT AND THE SARATOGA FAMILY COURT.
6. PLAINTIFF PETER PINTO IS A LAW ABIDING CITIZEN WITH NO CRIMINAL RECORDS AGAINST HIM AND 100% DISABLED VETERAN OF THE UNITED STATES NAVY.
7. THIS IS AN ACTION PURSUANT TO THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871, 42 USCA SECTION 1983, AND 28 USCA SECTION 1343, SEEKING REDRESS FOR THE DEPRIVATION OF THE PLAINTIFF'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. VENUE IS PROPER IN THIS DISTRICT, AS MOST OF THE ACTS COMPLAINED OF OCCURRED IN GREENE COUNTY, NEW YORK AND PLAINTIFF RESIDES IN DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.

#### JURISDICTION

8. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS ACTION UNDER 28 USCA SECTION 1343(A)(3) FOR A VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS PROVIDED IN 42 USCA SECTION 1983. THE PLAINTIFF SEEKS INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND MONETARY DAMAGES AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES AS WELL AS ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO 42 USCA 1988.
9. THE PLAINTIFF SEEKS REDRESS FOR VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS PURSUANT TO THE SECOND AMENDMENT, FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO BE FREE FROM ILLEGAL

SEIZURES; THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM POLICE HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE FIFTH, SIXTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES; THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT TO ACCESS TO THE COURTS AS PROVIDED FOR BY THE FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES; THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, MALICIOUS ABUSE OF PROCESS, AND UNLAWFUL SEIZURE AS PROVIDED FOR BY THE FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES; AND THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW AS GUARANTEED BY THE FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.

#### IDENTITY OF THE PARTIES

10. THE PLAINTIFF PETER PINTO IS AN ADULT, LAW ABIDING CITIZEN WITH NO CRIMINAL RECORDS AGAINST HIM AND A 100% DISABLED NAVY VETERAN RESIDING AT 35 MOORE ROAD, TIVOLI, NEW YORK.

11. THE DEFENDANT MEGHAN DOWNEY AND DEFENDANT ROAD SUPERVISOR SERGEANT CHRISTMAN WERE AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THE ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS COMPLAINT DULY

APPOINTED SHERIFFS OR OFFICERS WITH GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF AND WERE ACTING WITHIN THE NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE DEFENDANTS' OFFICIAL DUTIES AS MEMBERS OF THE GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND KNEW THE TRUE FACTS THAT MR. PINTO ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 WAS ACCOMPANIED BY TROOPER CROUZZO FROM THE HIGHLAND STATE TROOPER'S OFFICE WHO WAS ON DUTY THAT DAY HELPING THE STATE TROOPER BARRACKS ON ROUTE 23.

TROOPER CROUZZO ESCORTED MR. PINTO TO MS. BERTONE'S 35 BETHEL RIDGE ROAD PROPERTY TO PICK UP HIS PERSONAL CLOTHING. SO BOTH DEFENDANTS CHRISTMAN AND DOWNEY WERE AWARE THAT MR. PINTO WAS NOT STALKING HIS WIFE AS ALLEGED BY LUCILLE PINTO.

12. AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THE ALLEGATIONS, DEFENDANT MEGHAN DOWNEY AND DEFENDANT SERGEANT CHRISTMAN AS MEMBERS OF THE GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ACTED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, REGULATIONS, CUSTOMS AND POLICIES. AT THIS TIME MR. PINTO CALLED THE TROOPER BARRACKS ON ROUTE 23 AND ASKED HOW TO GET REPORTS ON OFFICER DOWNEY BECAUSE OF HER ACTIONS. TROOPERS INSTRUCTED MR. PINTO TO CALL HER ROAD SUPERVISOR THIS DAY SEPTEMBER 4, 2020. SERGEANT CHRISTMAN IS INVOLVED DIRECTLY BECAUSE HE WAS THE ROAD SUPERVISOR.

#### FACTS

1. THE DATE WHEN AND THE TIME WHERE THE CLAIM AROSE WAS ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 IN THE MORNING SHERIFF DOWNEY CALLED MR. PETER PINTO AT 8:42 AM AND ACCUSED MR. PINTO OF RIDING PAST LUCILE BERTONE PINTO'S PLACE OF BUSINESS AND THEIR HOUSE IN CATSKILLS TO DROP OFF THINGS SHE HAD REQUESTED AND THAT HE WAS STALKING HER. PETER PINTO TOLD SHERIFF DOWNEY TO CALL THE NEW YORK STATE

TROOPERS TO CONFIRM THAT PETER PINTO WAS WITH THE STATE TROOPERS THAT DAY. SHE CALLED PETER PINTO BACK AROUND 9:15 AM AND TOLD HIM SHE WAS GOING TO GET HIM OR FIX HIM OR TAKE CARE OF HIM. SHE SAID "WE OR I KNOW HOW TO TAKE CARE OF YOU". THIS WAS WILLFUL, UNREASONABLE AND VERY THREATENING CONDUCT TO MR. PINTO.

2. SHERIFF DOWNEY STARTED TO USE A VERY LOUD AND THREATENING VOICE. SHE TOLD MR. PINTO TO SHUT UP AND LISTEN TO ME! MR. PINTO BELIEVED SHE WAS CALLING ON A PRIVATE LINE. SHE KEPT YELLING SHUT UP NOW YOU LISTEN TO ME. I AM GOING TO FIX OR TAKE CARE OF YOU. WE HAVE PHOTOS. PETER PINTO BETTER WATCH HIMSELF. THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE CONDUCT.

3. AT THIS POINT MR. PINTO CALLED THE TROOPER BARRACKS ON ROUTE 23 IN SOUTH CAIRO AND AT THAT TIME THE OFFICER ON THE PHONE SAID SHERIFF DOWNEY WAS ON THE OTHER LINE

AND HE WOULD TALK TO PETER PINTO AFTER HE FINISHED WITH HER. PETER PINTO TOLD HIM WHAT SHE ACCUSED PETER PINTO OF AND HE SAID, "I TOLD HER YOU WERE WITH TROOPER (CROUZZO) WHEN YOU WERE AT THE CATSKILL HOME TO GET YOUR CLOTHES".

4. ABOUT THIRTY MINUTES LATER SHERIFF DOWNEY CALLED PETER PINTO BACK, PETER PINTO ASSUMED TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT, BUT IN FACT TOLD PETER PINTO THAT HE WAS A LIAR, NO TROOPER WAS WITH PETER PINTO AND THERE WAS NO REPORT TO THAT EFFECT, ONCE AGAIN GETTING LOUD AND THREATENING AND TELLING PETER PINTO TO WATCH HIMSELF IN A VERY THREATENING MANNER AGAIN. SHE SAID SHE HAD PICTURES OF PETER PINTO, HE WAS A LIAR AND SHE THREATENED PETER PINTO THAT "WE WOULD TAKE CARE OF IT, WE WILL GET YOU". THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE CONDUCT.

5. ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 ACCOMPANIED BY TROOPER CROUZZO WHO WAS ON DUTY THAT DAY TO HELPING THE ROUTE 23

OFFICE FROM THE HIGHLAND OFFICE, THERE WAS NO ORDER OF PROTECTION AGAINST PETER PINTO AT THIS TIME, BUT PETER PINTO KNEW TO ASK THE STATE TROOPER TO ACCOMPANY HIM FOR FEAR OF LUCILLE BERTONE'S CONTINUATION OF MAKING FALSE REPORTS. PETER PINTO EMAILED LUCILLE BERTONE AND HE EMAILED TOM KNAPP. THE TROOPERS CALLED LUCILLE BERTONE THAT MORNING AND SHE HUNG UP ON HIM. THE FACTS WERE MR. PINTO WAS ACCOMPANIED BY (TROOPER CROUZZO) THE DAY BEFORE TO RETRIEVE HIS PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF A PRE-ARRANGED MEETING TO OBTAIN HIS PROPERTY. MR. PINTO HAD ADVISED LUCILLE PINTO WELL IN ADVANCE OF HIS INTENT TO MEET THERE WITH POLICE TO OBTAIN HIS PROPERTY AND HE COMPLIED WITH ALL OF LUCILLE BERTONE'S REQUESTS TO RETRIEVE HER PROPERTY FROM HIM AS BEST AS HE COULD FIT IN THE TRUCK.

6. PETER PINTO CALLED THE STATE TROOPERS ON ROUTE 23 TO ASK HOW TO GET REPORTS ON SHERIFF DOWNEY BECAUSE OF HER

ACTIONS AND THE TROOPERS TOLD HIM TO CALL HER ROAD SUPERVISOR ON THIS DAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2019.

7. WHEN MR. PINTO CALLED SHERIFF DOWNEY'S ROAD

SUPERVISOR, SERGEANT CHRISTMAN ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 AND SAID THIS IS MR. PINTO, HIS FIRST WORDS WERE, "WHAT DO YOU THINK THIS IS A CONSPIRACY?" BEFORE MR. PINTO COULD GET A WORD OUT. PETER PINTO THEN SAID HE WANTED A REPORT ON SHERIFF DOWNEY'S ACTIONS ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019. SERGEANT CHRISTMAN THEN ANSWERED, "I AM NOT GIVING YOU ANYTHING. I DON'T WORK FOR YOU". AT THIS POINT MR. PINTO SAID THAT HE WAS DOING WHAT THE TROOPERS INSTRUCTED HIM TO DO, THAT SERGEANT CHRISTMAN'S WORDS THAT "I DON'T WORK FOR THE F-ING TROOPERS EITHER", AT ALL TIMES PETER PINTO WAS VERY PROPER AND RESPECTFUL. THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE CONDUCT BY DEFENDANT SERGEANT CHRISTMAN.

8. ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 WE KNOW THIS TO BE TRUE BECAUSE SHERIFF DOWNEY CALLED TROOPERS ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 TO SEE IF PETER PINTO WAS TELLING HER THE TRUTH. PETER PINTO REQUESTED A REPORT AS TO BEING WITH THE TROOPERS ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 AND THE TROOPERS TOLD OFFICER DOWNEY THAT MR. PINTO WAS ESCORTED TO THE PROPERTY ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019. THERE WAS A REPORT TO THAT EFFECT, THEN THE TROOPERS HAD TO LOOK IT UP AND MAKE OFFICER DOWNEY AWARE OF THE TROOPER'S ACTIONS ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019. SO IT HAS TO BE TRUE IF THERE WAS A REPORT TO THAT EFFECT THAT TROOPERS LOOKED IT UP AND MADE SHERIFF DOWNEY AWARE OF THE TROOPERS AND MR. PINTO'S ACTIONS ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 SO DEFENDANTS KNOWINGLY AIDED, AND ABBEDED IN THE FALSE ACTIONS ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019. THERE WAS NO POLICE REPORT TO GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF FROM LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 BECAUSE WE MADE A FOIL REQUEST TO THAT EFFECT AND WAS TOLD

THERE WAS NO REPORT MADE BY HER. THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS THAT LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO WAS NEVER IN FEAR OF PETER PINTO AND KNEW HE WAS IN SARATOGA AND KNEW HE WAS NEVER ANY IMMEDIATE THREAT TO HER. SO WHY WERE ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS TAKEN ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 BY SHERIFF DOWNEY, SERGEANT CHRISTMAN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE AND JUDGE TERRY WILHELM. THEREFORE WE KNOW ALL DEFENDANTS KNOWINGLY ACTED ON A FALSE POLICE REPORT AND KNOWINGLY AIDED AND ABETTED AND ACTED WILLFULLY AND UNREASONABLY.

9. SO IT IS VERY CLEAR THE ACTIONS BY DEFENDANTS WERE TAKEN AS A RESULT OF PETER PINTO ASKING FOR POLICE REPORTS AND ACTIONS TAKEN BY SHERIFF DOWNEY ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019.

THIS IS A CLEAR CASE OF COVERING ONE SIX OR SIXES. ON LUCILLE BERTONE'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER OF PROTECTION SIGNED BY JUDGE TERRY WILHELM RIGHT ON THE TOP IT SAID IT IS TO BE HEARD ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 IN SARATOGA FAMILY

COURT AND ON QUESTIONS 13 AND 14 OF SAID APPLICATION SHE STATES IT IS IN NO OTHER COURT AND SHE HAS NOT SOUGHT THIS APPLICATION REQUEST BEFORE. DEFENDANT JOSEPH STANZIONE STATED TO PETER PINTO AND HIS ATTORNEY THAT THE JUDGE SHOULD NOT HAVE SIGNED THIS ORDER OF PROTECTION FOR THIS VERY REASON. SO WAS IT ASKED UPON HIM TO DO SO ANYWAY. WE WILL SHOW HOW THIS LOOKS VERY CLEAR.

10. IS THIS WHY THAT ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 AFTER OFFICER DOWNEY'S CALLS TO PETER PINTO AND THEN PETER PINTO'S CALLS TO HER ROAD SUPERVISOR, DID DEFENDANTS THEN PICK UP LUCILLE BERTONE TO COVER SHERIFF DOWNEY'S CALLS BECAUSE THERE WAS NO POLICE REPORTS OR INCIDENT REPORTS AS OF SEPTEMBER 3, 2019. WAS SHERIFF DOWNEY'S CALLS TO PETER PINTO OFF THE RECORDS TO TRY TO HARASS AND INTIMIDATE PETER PINTO FROM AN INFORMAL CALL (REQUEST) FROM LUCILLE BERTONE?

11. ALL OF THESE ACTIONS SO QUICKLY TAKEN ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 AFTER PETER PINTO'S CALLS TO DEFENDANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE. IF MRS. BERTONE PINTO WAS IN SUCH DANGER IT IS FUNNY THAT NO ACTIONS WERE TAKEN EARLY ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019. IN FACT WHEN THE HEARING ORDERED BY JUDGE TERRY WILHELM TOOK PLACE ON SEPTEMBER 25, 2019 AT GREENE COUNTY FAMILY COURT, MS. BERTONE'S ATTORNEY SAID ON THE RECORD THE POLICE PICKED HER UP AND HELPED HER FILL OUT THE APPLICATION FOR THE ORDER OF PROTECTION OR THEY FILLED IT OUT FOR HER WHICH WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE AND AIDED AND ABETTED IN A FALSE REPORT.

12. JUDGE TERRY WILHELM'S ACTIONS OF THE ORDER OF PROTECTION PRECLUDED MR. PINTO'S SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS THEREBY HAVING NO PROTECTION AGAINST MRS. BERTONE PINTO, AND HER BROTHER AND PUT PETER PINTO'S LIFE IN DANGER FROM NOT ONLY MRS. BERTONE PINTO, HER

BROTHER AND WILD CATS ON HIS 35 MOORE ROAD PROPERTY WHERE HE NEEDED TO BE. THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE ACTION BY DEFENDANT TERRY WILHELM.

13. MR. PINTO CALLED SHERIFF DOWNEY'S ROAD SUPERVISOR TO ASK HIM TO MAKE A REPORT OF THIS SHERIFF DOWNEY'S ACTIONS AND THAT LUCILLE BERTONE WAS MAKING FALSE CLAIMS AND REPORTS AS SHE WAS DOING IN THE PAST. MR. PINTO ALSO WANTED A REPORT ON HER WHY SHE WAS CALLING PETER PINTO.

14. HIS FIRST WORDS WERE DON'T TELL ME WHAT TO DO BECAUSE YOU THINK THERE IS A CONSPIRACY. THESE WERE HIS WORDS. PETER PINTO NEVER EVEN HINTED TO THAT EFFECT. HE SAID I AM WRITING NOTHING. PETER PINTO EXPLAINED THAT THIS WAS WHAT THE TROOPERS TOLD HIM TO DO. AND HE YELLED "THE F-ING TROOPERS ARE NOT MY BOSS". THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE ACTION BY DEFENDANT SERGEANT CHRISTMAN.

15. SHERIFF DOWNEY AND HER SUPERVISORS KNEW AT THAT TIME THAT THE STATE TROOPERS WERE WITH PETER PINTO WHEN HE RETRIEVED SOME PROPERTY SO THEY KNOWINGLY AIDED AND ABETTED LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO IN MAKING A FALSE POLICE REPORT IN COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY. THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE BEHAVIOR BY DEFENDANTS DOWNEY AND CHRISTMAN.

16. DEFENDANTS ACTED ON A FALSE POLICE REPORT MADE BY LUCILLE BERTONE AND KNOWINGLY AIDED AND ABETTED HER FULLY KNOWING THEY WERE ACTING ON A FALSE POLICE REPORT MADE BY LUCILLE BERTONE, DEFENDANTS KNEW THAT IT WAS A FALSE POLICE REPORT MADE BY LUCILLE BERTONE IN THAT THEY VERIFIED IT WITH THE STATE TROOPERS. THE STATE TROOPER BACKED IT UP THAT IT WAS A FALSE REPORT. MR. PINTO SHOWED THE REPORT FROM THE TROOPERS TO THE FAMILY COURT JUDGE SHOWING THAT THE TROOPERS WERE WITH HIM WHEN HE WENT TO LUCILLE BERTONE'S TO EXCHANGE PROPERTY. MR. PINTO WAS

TOLD LATER THAT HE ALLEGEDLY WAS TRYING TO GET IN TOUCH WITH LUCILLE BERTONE THROUGH A THIRD PARTY AT WHICH TIME THERE WAS NO ORDER OF PROTECTION AGAINST PETER PINTO. THIS WAS WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE BEHAVIOR BY DEFENDANTS.

17. LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO KNEW TROOPERS WERE WITH MR. PETER PINTO BECAUSE THEY CALLED HER BEFORE AND SHE HUNG UP ON THEM. LUCILLE BERTONE FIRST TRIED TO MAKE A FALSE REPORT WITH THE STATE TROOPERS SAYING MR. PINTO WAS USING SOMEONE ELSE'S PHONE TO TEXT HER. A TROOPER CALLED PETER PINTO TO INFORM HIM OF HER ALLEGATIONS INDICATING THAT THEY DID NOT THINK THAT SHE WAS CREDIBLE. LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO HAS OWNED BUSINESSES IN GREENE COUNTY FOR 50 YEARS AND SHE KNOWS JUST ABOUT EVERYONE THERE AND DEFENDANTS ARE LOYAL TO HER CATSKILL BUSINESS FOR 50 YEARS.

18. AFTER PETER PINTO SPOKE WITH SHERIFF DOWNEY'S ROAD SUPERVISOR, (DEFENDANT SERGEANT CHRISTMAN) PETER PINTO CALLED THE TROOPERS BACK WHO TOLD PETER PINTO TO CALL THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. DEFENDANT, JOSEPH STANZIONE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TOLD PETER PINTO THAT THEY WOULD INVESTIGATE WITHIN THE HOUR WHICH ONLY LED TO THE FACT THAT LUCILLE BERTONE WAS PICKED UP AIDED BY DEFENDANTS GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF IN FILLING OUT A FALSE REPORT. THE JUDGE, DEFENDANT TERRY WILHELM SIGNED OFF ON AN ORDER OF PROTECTION KNOWING HE COULDN'T DO SO BECAUSE THE CASE WAS ALREADY PENDING IN SARATOGA. THE ORDER HAD TO COME FROM A HIGH PLACE TO TAKE ALL THESE ACTIONS. LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO WAS PICKED UP ONLY AFTER PETER PINTO MADE INQUIRIES TO THE DEFENDANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE. THESE WERE WILLFUL AND UNREASONABLE ACTIONS OF DEFENDANTS. IT CLEARLY SEEMS EVIDENT THAT THESE ACTIONS HAD TO BE STARTED BY MR. PINTO'S INQUIRY TO

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND THE DA THEN HAVING TO TAKE ACTION TO COVER DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL ACTIONS.

19. DEFENDANTS ARE GUILTY OF MALICIOUS PROSECUTION BECAUSE DEFENDANTS, JOSEPH STANZIONE, TERRY WILHELM, DOWNEY AND CHRISTMAN WRONGLY DEPRIVED PETER PINTO OF HIS FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO LIBERTY. DEFENDANTS COMMENCED A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING IN THAT THEY AIDED AND ABETTED A FALSE FAMILY COURT PETITION OF LUCILLE BERTONE, KNOWING THE CASE WAS ALREADY PENDING IN SARATOGA COUNTY, TOOK PETER PINTO (DISABLED VETERAN)'S WEAPONS SO HE WAS UNABLE TO PROTECT HIMSELF, AND THE PROCEEDING ENDED IN VICTIM, PETER PINTO'S FAVOR BECAUSE THE GREENE COUNTY FAMILY COURT PETITION AGAINST HIM WAS DISMISSED UPON MOTION. THERE WAS NO PROBABLE CAUSE AND THE PROCEEDING WAS BROUGHT WITH MALICE TOWARD THE VICTIM, PETER PINTO. THESE WERE UNREASONABLE AND VISCIOUS ACTIONS BY DEFENDANTS.

20. PETER PINTO IS A DISABLED VETERAN. MR. PINTO HAD TO RETURN TO HIS HOUSE IN RED HOOK WHICH PLACED HIM IN GRAVE DANGER WITH THE WILD LIONS ON HIS PROPERTY AND THIS CAN BE VERIFIED BY THE POLICE THAT HE SHOULD NOT GO ON HIS PROPERTY WITHOUT A WEAPON. JOHN, THE STATE TROOPER, STATED THAT CATS RUN ALL OVER HIS PROPERTY, MR. PINTO WAS CHASED NEAR HIS POND, A LARGE CAT ALMOST ATTACKED HIM.
21. MR. PINTO HAS REQUIRED HOSPITALIZATIONS AS A RESULT OF ALL OF THIS.
22. ON THAT VERY AFTERNOON OF SHERIFF DOWNEY'S PHONE CALLS TO MR. PINTO HE BELIEVES THEY TOLD HER TO MAKE A CLAIM IN FAMILY COURT, EVEN THOUGH THERE WAS A PENDING FAMILY COURT TRIAL SET FOR SEPT 30<sup>TH</sup> 2019 IN SARATOGA FAMILY COURT IN BALLSTON SPA, NY. THIS IS WHERE THEY WERE ALL TRYING TO COVER THEIR ACTIONS AND COMMITTED THE

CRIMES OF AIDING AND ABETTING AND OTHER UNLAWFUL  
ACTIONS.

23. ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 SHORTLY AFTER 6:30 PM TWO SARATOGA COUNTY SHERIFFS CARS AND TWO OFFICERS ARRIVED TO SERVE MR. PINTO WITH AN ORDER OF PROTECTION. AS A RESULT OF THE FALSE POLICE REPORTS AND HER CLAIMS HE WAS FORCED TO SURRENDER ALL WEAPONS. MR. PINTO HAPPENED TO BE ON THE PHONE WITH HIS LAWYER, PAMELA GABIGER WITH VERY GRAVE CONCERNS AND FEAR OF HER FALSE REPORTS LEADING TO AN OFFICER RESPONDING TO A DOMESTIC CALL AND OVERREACTING, HE FELT SHE WAS PUTTING HIM IN HARMS WAY. THIS SURRENDERING OF HIS WEAPONS IS IN VIOLATION OF HIS SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO BE ABLE TO PROTECT HIMSELF.

24. PETER PINTO IS A DISABLED VETERAN AND WAS NOT ABLE TO PROTECT HIMSELF WITHOUT HIS WEAPON. HIS LIFE WAS IN DANGER BECAUSE THE POLICE OFFICERS TOLD HIM THAT THEY

WERE FAMILIAR WITH LUCILLE BERTONE'S BROTHER WHO LIVED AROUND THE CORNER IN STILLWATER, NY AND ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE COULD BE A THREAT TO MR. PINTO AND TOLD MR. PINTO TO CALL THE POLICE IF ANYTHING HAPPENED WHERE MR. PINTO THEN TOLD THE POLICE THAT THE POLICE TAKE ONE HOUR TO GET THERE.

25. AS A RESULT OF ALL OF THIS STRESS PETER PINTO HAD TO BE HOSPITALIZED AND HIS BLOOD PRESSURE WAS 149 OVER 96 WHEN IT IS USUALLY 113 OVER 70. ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2019 IT WAS 200 OVER 151. HIS ACID REFLUX WAS SEVERELY AGGRAVATED THAT THEY HAD TO GIVE HIM G.I. COCKTAILS. HE HAS HAD VERY SEVERE HEADACHES SINCE THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 4, 2019. HE HAS PAIN IN HIS CHEST AND BURNING AND HIS BLOOD PRESSURE HAS BEEN FLUCTUATING. ON SEPTEMBER 5, 2019 PETER PINTO WAS IN SARATOGA HOSPITAL AND WHEN HE WAS RECEIVED IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM HE COULD BARELY SPEAK AND HE IS STILL BATTLING SEVERE

EXACERBATION OF HIS ACID REFLUX ON A DAILY BASIS WHICH WAS EXACERBATED AS IT ALWAYS IS IN TIMES OF TURMOIL.

26. LUCILLE BERTONE IS PUTTING PETER PINTO'S LIFE IN DANGER BECAUSE POLICE ARE ALREADY ON GUARD WITH ALLEGED DOMESTIC INCIDENTS SO IF POLICE SEE MR. PINTO WITH A CELL PHONE THEY MIGHT THINK THAT IT IS A GUN AND SHOOT HIM.

27. DEFENDANT SHERIFF DOWNEY WAS THREATENING, HARASSING AND CAUSED UNDUE HARASSMENT AND PERSECUTION OF PETER PINTO.

28. DEFENDANT GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF TOLD PETER PINTO THEY WOULD KNOW WHO BROKE THE WINDSHIELD, HOWEVER THEY PRESSED PETER PINTO TO DROP THE CHARGES. THEY DID AN IMPROPER INVESTIGATION AND REFUSED TO PULL THE TAPE. DEFENDANT JOSEPH STANZIONE REFUSED TO PULL THE TAPE. DEFENDANTS FAILED TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO PETER PINTO AS A CITIZEN. PETER PINTO IS STILL VERY AFRAID TO GO TO GREENE

COUNTY AND WE WILL SHOW CAUSE LATER IN OUR COMPLAINT FAILURE TO PROTECT AND DENY PROPER INVESTIGATIONS TO PETER PINTO.

29. GREENE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND GREENE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS GUILTY OF DERELICTION OF DUTY IN SUPPLYING INADEQUATE PROTECTION TO PETER PINTO, PARTLY DUE TO HIS GENDER AND THEIR BIAS AND FAVORITISM TOWARD LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO AS HIS WINDOW WAS BROKEN WHILE IN THE COURT PARKING LOT WHICH IS ALSO IN FRONT OF THE CATSKILL POLICE STATION. THERE WAS A 360 DEGREE CAMERA 8 FEET BEHIND PETER PINTO'S TRUCK WHERE ALL PARTIES FAILED TO RETRIEVE THREE AND ONE HALF HOURS OF TAPE FROM SAID CAMERA. THEY DID SAY FIXED CAMERA ON WALL DID SHOW HIS TRUCK COMING INTO THE PARKING LOT WITH NO BROKEN WINDSHIELD AND LEAVING WITH A BROKEN WINDSHIELD. MR. PINTO HAS CONCERNS AS TO WHO BROKE IT AND BELIEVES IT MAY HAVE EVEN BEEN SHERIFF DOWNEY OR POLICE AS THEY

KEPT ASKING PETER PINTO TO DROP CHARGES IF THEY FOUND OUT WHO DID IT. YES THE CARDS ARE STACKED AGAINST PETER PINTO WHEN IT COMES TO GREENE COUNTY. PETER PINTO'S LAWYER WAS ONLY GIVEN A FEW MINUTES OF TAPE, NOT THE FULL THREE AND ONE HALF HOUR TAPE WHICH WAS DENIED UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT. NOW ONE CAN UNDERSTAND THE FEARS AND CONCERNS OF MR. PINTO EVER ENTERING GREENE COUNTY FOR THESE REASONS.

30. DEFENDANTS ASSISTED, HELPED, AIDED AND ABETTED LUCILLE BERTONE TO FILE A FALSE REPORT. DEFENDANTS, JUDGE TERRY WILHELM, DEFENDANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE, DEFENDANT SHERIFF DOWNEY AND DEFENDANT SHERIFF DOWNEY'S ROAD SUPERVISOR KNEW IT WAS A FALSE REPORT. ALL DEFENDANTS AIDED AND ABBEDED LUCILLE BERTONE IN MAKING A FALSE REPORT.

31. WHO ELSE COULD HAVE PULLED STRINGS SO QUICKLY BY HAVING LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO PICKED UP AND HAVING

DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM SIGN AN ORDER OF PROTECTION AND ONLY AFTER PETER PINTO CALLED THE DA. WE WILL SHOW AGAINST DEFENDANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE. WE WILL SHOW LATER OTHER OBSTACLES AND BLOCKS OF PETER PINTOS RIGHTS ON THE PART OF GREENE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

32. PLAINTIFF REPEATS, REITERATES AND REALLEGES EACH AND EVERY ALLEGATION CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS DESIGNATED "1" THROUGH "32" ABOVE AS IF SET FORTH AT LENGTH HEREIN.

33. PLAINTIFF IS BEING TREATED DIFFERENTLY BASED UPON THE FACT THAT HE IS A MAN AND HIS WIFE LUCILLE BERTONE IS A WOMAN. HAD MR. PINTO DONE TO MRS. PINTO WHAT MRS. PINTO DID TO MR. PINTO, HE WOULD HAVE BEEN IMMEDIATELY PICKED UP AND ARRESTED. SHE IS TREATED DIFFERENTLY BECAUSE SHE IS A WOMAN AND HE IS A MAN IN VIOLATION OF

HIS RIGHT TO BE TREATED EQUALLY UNDER THE EQUAL  
PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE CONSTITUTION.

34. DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM USED UNDUE  
PERSECUTION AGAINST PETER PINTO BY PULLING HIS 2<sup>ND</sup>  
AMENDMENT RIGHT AND DISCRIMINATED AGAINST HIM BASED  
UPON THE FACT THAT HE IS A MALE AND LUCILLE BERTONE IS A  
FEMALE. DEFENDANT JUDGE WILHELM KNEW THE REPORTS  
WERE FALSE BECAUSE PETER PINTO TOLD HIM THEY WERE FALSE  
AND SHOWED HIM PROOF OF THE POLICE REPORT THAT MR.  
PINTO WAS WITH TROOPER CROUZZO ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019. IT  
SAID ON TOP OF THE APPLICATION FOR ORDER OF PROTECTION  
“PENDING IN SARATOGA” AND SHE DENIED THAT THERE WAS  
ANOTHER ACTION PENDING ON QUESTIONS 13 AND 14. THIS WAS  
ABUSE OF POWER AND INTIMIDATION. MRS. BERTONE PINTO  
HAS BROKEN THE ORDER OF PROTECTION ON FOUR OCCASIONS  
PROTECTED ALL THE WHILE BY DEFENDANT JOSEPH STANZIONE  
WHO EVEN REFUSES TO TAKE INTO EVIDENCE OR VIEW SAID

EVIDENCE WHERE MRS. BERTONE PINTO IS COMMITTING A FELONY AND EVEN FAILURE TO INVESTIGATE SAME.

35. WHEN LUCILLE BERTONE FILED HER PETITION IN GREENE COUNTY IT SAID ON THERE, IS THERE ANY OTHER PROCEEDING PENDING IN ANOTHER COURT INVOLVING THE SAME MATTERS AND SHE ANSWERED NO. DEFENDANT TERRY WILHELM WAS EVEN SHOWN THE PROOF TO THAT EFFECT. LATER HE WAS FORCED TO DROP ALL THE CHARGES AND TRIAL DUE TO FALSE APPLICATION BACKED UP BY THE THIRD JUDICIAL COURTS AND PETER PINTO HAD HIS ATTORNEY PUT MOTIONS IN WHEN MR. PINTO GAVE HIM IN EVIDENCE THE TROOPER REPORTS OF SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 WHICH SHOWED IN FACT THAT PETER PINTO WAS NOT STALKING HIS WIFE BUT WAS WITH STATE TROOPERS TO RETRIEVE HIS CLOTHES. HE ABUSED HIS POWER BY TELLING PETER PINTO HE WAS GOING TO HOLD A TRIAL ANYWAY WHEN HE KNEW THE APPLICATION WAS FALSE.

36. DEFENDANT TERRY WILHELM PUT A FALSE ORDER OF PROTECTION ON MR. PINTO WHEREBY DIRECTING POLICE OFFICERS TO PICK UP HIS PISTOL PERMIT AND WEAPONS, IN VIOLATION OF HIS SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS.
37. ON APPROXIMATELY SEPTEMBER 25, 2019 PETER PINTO'S TRUCK WAS VANDALIZED WHEN HE WENT TO FAMILY COURT IN GREENE COUNTY.
38. DEFENDANT JOSEPH STANZIONE FAILED TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO PETER PINTO, HE WOULD NOT INVESTIGATE PETER PINTO'S BROKEN WINDSHIELD, OR LISTEN TO A TAPE RECORDING OF LUCILLE BERTONE STATING THAT SHE HANDLED BULLETS AND TRANSFERRED THEM TO PETER PINTO IN VIOLATION OF THE ORDER OF PROTECTION, WOULD NOT VIEW OR EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF THE BULLETS, HE DIDN'T AFFORD PETER PINTO THE SAME PRIVILEGES THAT HE WOULD TO ANOTHER CITIZEN, NOR WOULD HE HAVE AFFORDED TO PETER PINTO'S WIFE, LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO. DEFENDANT WAS BIASED AGAINST PETER

PINTO. HE DOESN'T WANT TO RECEIVE EVIDENCE OF A TAPE RECORDING, A FELONY CHARGE, A DERELICTION OF DUTY AND OATH TO PROVIDE EQUAL RIGHTS TO ALL AND FAILURE TO FULFILL HIS DUTIES AS DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND SHOWING EXTREME BIAS IN FAVOR OF MRS. PINTO.

39. DEFENDANTS WOULD NOT EVEN INVESTIGATE PETER PINTO'S SMASHED WINDSHIELD IN THE PARKING LOT, DEFENDANT JOSEPH STANZIONE WOULDN'T EVEN INVESTIGATE OR TAKE EVIDENCE FROM PETER PINTO CONSISTING OF A TAPE RECORDING OF LUCILLE BERTONE HANDLING THE BULLETS THAT SHE TRANSFERRED TO PETER PINTO IN VIOLATION OF THE ORDER OF PROTECTION (WHICH IS A FELONY). HE SAID HE DIDN'T WANT THAT TAPE AND HE WASN'T GOING TO LISTEN TO IT. WHEN PETER PINTO ASKED FOR THE 3 AND ONE HALF HOURS OF TAPE OF PETER PINTO'S TRUCK ON 9/25/19 NO ONE WOULD PULL THE 360 DEGREE CAMERA TAPE.

40. THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS THE RIGHT NOT TO PROSECUTE ON A ONE TO ONE BASIS BUT LUCILLE BERTONE HAS COMMITTED HORRENDOUS ACTS OF VIOLENCE AND VIOLATIONS OF COURT ORDERS (AND FELONIES). PLAINTIFF IS IN GRAVE DANGER CONTINUOUSLY ESCALATED BECAUSE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY FAILED TO ACT. MR. PINTO DOESN'T KNOW WHERE HE WILL END UP IN THE FUTURE.

41. MR. PINTO SUFFERED EXTREME PAIN, ULCERS AND NEEDS FURTHER TESTING SOLELY AS A RESULT OF WHAT DEFENDANTS DID TO HIM. PLAINTIFF ENDED UP IN THE HOSPITAL WITH E-COLI POISONING IN HIS BLOODSTREAM WHICH WAS INGESTED. THE PLAINTIFF WAS IN THE RESTAURANT BUSINESS IN EXCESS OF 40 YEARS AND KNOWS AND IS AN EXPERT IN FOOD SANITATION. THIS ONLY HAPPENED ONE DAY AFTER A NEW LIFE INSURANCE POLICY WENT INTO EFFECT ON MR. PINTO'S LIFE FOR THE BENEFIT OF LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO THAT SHE PAID FOR IN FULL IN THE BENEFIT AMOUNT OF THREE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND

AND NO/100 (\$350,000.00) DOLLARS. IT TOOK THREE WEEKS FOR THE POLICY TO BE APPROVED, NEXT DAY POISONING, WHAT A COINCIDENCE. MRS. BERTONE SAID THAT SHE HAD DONE VERY BAD THINGS IN THE PAST, FUNNY HER EX-HUSBAND ENDED UP WITH A COLOSTOMY BAG ON HIS SIDE WHICH THEY ATTRIBUTED TO DIVERTICULOSIS. THIS IS WHAT THEY THOUGHT PETER PINTO HAD BUT THE HOSPITAL REPORT SHOWS NO EVIDENCE OF DIVERTICULOSIS WHATSOEVER. WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO HAVE FURTHER TESTING DONE BUT HAVE BEEN PUT OFF DUE TO CORONAVIRUS TO DATE.

42. DEFENDANT JOSEPH STANZIONE BROKE PETER PINTO'S CIVIL RIGHTS AND INTIMIDATED HIM BY THROWING PAPERS BACK AT PETER PINTO AND THEN JOSEPH STANZIONE WAS SHOWN A COPY OF A POLICE REPORT SHOWING THAT LUCILLE BERTONE LIED BECAUSE PETER PINTO WAS WITH HIS ATTORNEY PAMELA GABIGER AT 4:30 PM ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019 WHEN LUCILLE BERTONE CLAIMED PETER PINTO WAS STALKING HER.

DEFENDANT STATED THAT IF WE PROVED THAT WHICH WE DID HE WOULD TAKE CARE OF IT BUT HE DID NOT PROSECUTE.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

43. PLAINTIFF REPEATS, REITERATES AND REALLEGES EACH AND EVERY ALLEGATION CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS "1" THROUGH "42" ABOVE AS IF SET FORTH AT LENGTH HEREIN.

44. ON APPROXIMATELY SEPTEMBER 25, 2019, PETER PINTO ADVISED DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM OF GREENE COUNTY FAMILY COURT THAT THIS ACTION WAS ALREADY PENDING IN SARATOGA COUNTY AND PETER PINTO GAVE HIM THE POLICE REPORT TO WHICH HE RESPONDED THAT HE DID NOT CARE, HE WAS GOING TO HOLD THE TRIAL ANYWAY. PETER PINTO ADVISED DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM THAT LUCILLE BERTONE FILED A FALSE REPORT AND DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM STATED THAT HE DID NOT CARE HE WAS GOING TO HOLD A TRIAL ANYWAY. DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM WAS ADVISED THAT WE HAVE A CASE PENDING AGAINST THE

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND HE RESPONDED THAT HE HAD NO ETHICAL PROBLEM WITH THAT. ATTORNEY MARK JOHN SACCO STATED, "IT DOESN'T AFFECT US".

45. EVEN DEFENDANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY STATED THAT

LUCILLE BERTONE FILED A FALSE REPORT AND ASKED WHY DID DEFENDANT JUDGE TERRY WILHELM SIGN THE ORDER OF PROTECTION IF IT WAS ALREADY PENDING IN SARATOGA.

46. AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

47. PLAINTIFF REPEATS, REITERATES AND REALLEGES EACH AND EVERY ALLEGATION CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS DESIGNATED "1" THROUGH "46" ABOVE AS IF SET FORTH AT LENGTH HEREIN.

48. DEFENDANT JUDGE JENNIFER JENSEN ABUSED HER POWER AND REFUSED TO READ THE PROPER DATES ON THE APPLICATION PUT BEFORE HER AND REFUSED TO LET PETER PINTO SHOW HER DATES AND PUT INTO EVIDENCE THE TAPE RECORDING AND SHE ONLY COULD HAVE LEARNED THIS FROM DEFENDANT JOSEPH

STANZIONE WHO WAS ONLY PRIVY TO THE INFORMATION THE DAY BEFORE.

49. THE MINUTES OF SARATOGA FAMILY COURT DATED FEBRUARY 26, 2020 CLEARLY SHOW THAT JUDGE JENNIFER A. JENSEN WAS BIASED AND SHOWED FAVORITISM TOWARD LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T WANT TO OBSERVE THE PROPER DATES PETER PINTO PRINTED ON THE APPLICATION IN FAMILY COURT.
50. SHE FINALLY IN FRUSTRATION LET THE CAT OUT OF THE BAG AND SAID, "THIS IS WHY YOU COULDN'T PROSECUTE LUCILLE BERTONE PINTO IN GREENE COUNTY BECAUSE YOU COULDN'T PROVE SHE HANDLED THE BULLETS". THIS INFORMATION COULD HAVE ONLY COME FROM DEFENDANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE BECAUSE WE NEVER WENT TO THE GREENE COUNTY POLICE OR SHERIFF'S OFFICE. MY ATTORNEY ONLY TOLD GREENE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY A DAY OR TWO BEFORE WE WENT TO SARATOGA FAMILY COURT THAT WE HAD LUCILLE

BERTONE PINTO ON TAPE STATING THAT LUCILLE BERTONE WAS HANDLING THE BULLETS ON TAPE. JUDGE JENNIFER A. JENSEN WOULD NOT READ THE PROPER DATE ON THE APPLICATION SO SHE SAID SHE WOULD NOT PUT THE TAPE RECORDING IN EVIDENCE. THIS TACTIC COULD ONLY RESULT FROM A CALL FROM DEFENDANT GREENE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE. WE ARE HAVING PHONE RECORDS PULLED FROM BOTH DEFENDANT SARATOGA FAMILY COURT JUDGE JENNIFER A. JENSEN, HER LAW CLERK AND SECRETARY, AND DEFENDANT GREENE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOSEPH STANZIONE AND HIS ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND OFFICE SECRETARY AND CLERK.

51. WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF DEMANDS JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR ONE HUNDRED FIFTY MILLION (\$150,000,000) AND NO/100 DOLLARS, FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS AND AN INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS PROHIBITING DEFENDANTS FROM KEEPING OR

TAKING PLAINTIFF'S GUNS TOGETHER WITH SUCH OTHER AND  
FURTHER RELIEF AS TO THIS COURT MAY SEEM JUST AND  
PROPER.

DATED: AUGUST 7, 2020

*PETER PINTO*

---

PETER PINTO

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)

COUNTY OF DUTCHESS) SS.:

PETER PINTO, BEING DULY SWORN, DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT HE IS THE PLAINTIFF IN THE WITHIN PROCEEDING, HE HAS READ THE FOREGOING COMPLAINT THAT THE SAME IS TRUE TO HIS OWN KNOWLEDGE EXCEPT AS TO THE MATTERS THEREIN ALLEGED TO BE UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AND AS TO THOSE MATTERS HE BELIEVES THEM TO BE TRUE.

*PETER PINTO*

---

PETER PINTO

SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 7TH DAY OF  
AUGUST, 2020

*PAMELA J. GABIGER*

---

NOTARY PUBLIC QUALIFIED IN DUTCHESS COUNTY  
COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/4/2023 01GA4891675

